

### Reference Material III-3: Results of the Inquiries to Suppliers (2019)

Questionnaire Items	Ratio (%)
In all fields	
Use of the information on government procurements offered at the beginning of each fiscal year	
a) Frequently	28.6%
b) Occasionally	57.1%
c) Never	14.3%
Usefulness of individual pieces of information on government procurements	
a) Good	33.3%
b) Fair	61.9%
c) Unsatisfactory	4.8%
Annual Report (“Japan’s Government Procurement: Policy and Achievements”)	
a) Satisfied	9.5%
b) Generally satisfied	47.6%
c) Not satisfied	0.0%
d) No idea, because have never made use of these services	42.9%
Publicizing award list on website	
a) Satisfied	10.0%
b) Generally satisfied	55.0%
c) Not satisfied	5.0%
d) Did not know it’s on the website	30.0%
Securing competitiveness and transparency through the reduction of single tendering and selective tendering	
a) Well secured	36.8%
b) Secured, but not sufficiently	57.9%
c) Not secured	5.3%
Concerning the extension of the period of the receipt of tenders	
a) Benefited from the extension	70.6%
b) Did not receive any particular benefit from the extension	29.4%
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	84.2%
b) Needs to be reduced	15.8%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
The overall-greatest-value evaluation method	
a) The method has well promoted reasonable assessments	28.6%
b) The method has promoted reasonable assessments to a certain extent	57.1%
c) The method has not worked well	0.0%
d) No idea for the procurement in this method	14.3%
Complaint review system	
a) Considered using the system	9.5%
b) Never considered using the system	76.2%
c) Did not know the system	14.3%

<b>Questionnaire Item</b>	<b>Ratio (%)</b>
<b>In telecommunication and medical technology fields</b>	
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	83.3%
b) Needs to be reduced	16.7%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology	
a) Reasonable	61.5%
b) Reasonable in many cases	38.5%
c) Not reasonable	0.0%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	84.6%
b) Needs to be reduced	15.4%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
Fairness of technical specifications	
a) Specified in accordance with the measures	35.3%
b) Specified largely in accordance with the measures	64.7%
c) Specified not in accordance with the measures	0.0%
<b>Miscellaneous</b>	
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology in the field of computer products and services	
a) Reasonable	31.3%
b) Reasonable in many cases	62.5%
c) Not reasonable	6.3%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method in the field of computer products and services (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	93.3%
b) Needs to be reduced	6.7%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
Measures to revise the Government Procurement System concerning Information Systems and Basic Guidelines on Government Procurement Related to Information Systems	
a) Reasonable	29.4%
b) Reasonable in many cases	64.7%
c) Not reasonable	5.9%

\* 21 respondents answered to the questionnaire.

\* Due to rounding of figures, the totals do not add up to 100% in some cases.

\* Suppliers that did not provide responses have been excluded.

\* For responses in the “In telecommunication and medical technology fields” and “Miscellaneous” categories, the results are calculated by excluding the response “No idea for the procurement in these fields.”