## Reference Material III-3: Results of the Inquiries to Suppliers (2021)

Questionnaire Item	Ratio (%)
In all fields	
Voluntary measures provide the request for submission of materials to prescribe specification and for comments on the specification and the extension of the period for receiving tenders in force	
a) Voluntary measures are proper and contribute to transparency and fairness of government procurement process	47. 6%
b) Voluntary measures shoule be improvd from the perspective of transparency and fairness	0.0%
c) Voluntary measures are proper, but are not fully implemented d) Voluntary measures are proper, but it takes too long time to go through the whole	4. 8%
process	28. 6%
e) It can't be expected to secure transparency and fairness of government procurement process through voluntary measures	0.0%
f) Others	19.0%
Use of the information on government procurements offered at the beginning of each fiscal year	
a) Good	27. 3%
b) Fair	45. 5%
c) Unsatisfactory	27. 3%
Usefulness of individual pieces of information on government procurements	
a) Good	23.8%
b) Fair	57. 1%
c) Unsatisfactory	19.0%
Annual Report ("Japan's Government Procurement: Policy and Achievements")	
a) Satisfied	8. 7%
b) Generally satisfied	21. 7%
c) Not satisfied	0.0%
d) No idea, because have never made use of these services	69.6%
Publicizing award list on website	
a) Satisfied	4. 8%
b) Generally satisfied	38. 1%
c) Not satisfied	9. 5%
d) Did not know it's on the website	47. 6%
Securing competitiveness and transparency through the reduction of single tendering and selective tendering	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a) Well secured	22. 7%
b) Secured, but not sufficiently	63.6%
c) Not secured	13. 6%

Questionnaire Item	Ratio (%)
Concerning the extension of the period of the receipt of tenders	
a) Benefited from the extension	47. 6%
b) Did not receive any particular benefit from the extension	52. 4%
(For those who selected "a" in the previous question)	
a) In most cases, it had not been possible to prepare for a bid in time without the extension of the period	10. 0%
b) In some cases it had not been possible to prepare for a bid without the extension of the period	70. 0%
c) Others	20.0%
(For those who selected "b" in the first question)	
a) In most cases, it is possible to prepare for the bid without the extension of the period	66. 7%
b) Others	33. 3%
Request for submission of materials to prescribe specification and request for comments. Have you ever provided any materials or comments?	
a) Yes	59. 1%
b) No	40. 9%
Request for submission of materials to prescribe specification and request for comments	
a) Beneficial	78. 9%
b) Not Particularly Beneficial	21. 1%
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	81.8%
b) Needs to be reduced	13.6%
c) Needs to be raised	4. 5%
The overall-greatest-value evaluation method	
a) The method has well promoted reasonable assessments	18. 2%
b) The method has promoted reasonable assessments to a certain extent	50.0%
c) The method has not worked well	4. 5%
d) No idea for the procurement in this method	27. 3%
Complaint review system	
a) Considered using the system	8. 7%
b) Never considered using the system	60. 9%
c) Did not know the system	30. 4%

Questionnaire Item	Ratio (%)
In telecommunication and medical technology fields	
Request for submission of materials to prescribe specification and request for comments. Have you ever provided any materials or comments?	
a) Yes	38. 1%
b) No	61. 9%
Request for submission of materials to prescribe specification and request for comments	
a) Beneficial	36. 4%
b) Not Particularly Beneficial	4. 5%
c) No idea for the procurement in these fields	59. 1%
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	45. 5%
b) Needs to be reduced	0.0%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	54. 5%
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology	
a) Reasonable	22. 7%
b) Reasonable in many cases	22. 7%
c) Not reasonable	4. 5%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	50.0%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	<b>4</b> 5. 5%
b) Needs to be reduced	0.0%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	54. 5%
Fairness of technical specifications	
a) Specified in accordance with the measures	31. 6%
b) Specified largely in accordance with the measures	63. 2%
c) Specified not in accordance with the measures	5. 3%

Questionnaire Item	Ratio (%)
Miscellaneous	
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology in	
the field of computer products and services	
a) Reasonable	18. 2%
b) Reasonable in many cases	40. 9%
c) Not reasonable	0.0%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	40. 9%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method in the field of computer	
products and services (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	39. 1%
b) Needs to be reduced	17. 4%
c) Needs to be raised	4. 3%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	39. 1%
Measures to revise the Government Procurement System concerning Information Systems and	
Basic Guidelines on Government Procurement Related to Information Systems	
a) Reasonable	17. 4%
b) Reasonable in many cases	39. 1%
c) Not reasonable	8. 7%
d) No idea for the procurement in these fields	34. 8%

- \* 38 respondents answered to the questionnaire.
- st Due to rounding of figures, the totals do not add up to 100% in some cases.
- \* Suppliers that did not provide responses have been excluded.
- \* For responses in the "In telecommunication and medical technology fields" and "Miscellaneous" categories, the results are calculated by excluding the response "No idea for the procurement in these fields."