

Reference Material III-3: Results of the Inquiries to Suppliers (2018)

Questionnaire Items	Ratio (%)
In all fields	
Use of the information on government procurements offered at the beginning of each fiscal year	
a) Frequently	34.5%
b) Occasionally	48.3%
c) Never	17.2%
Usefulness of individual pieces of information on government procurements	
a) Good	17.2%
b) Fair	75.9%
c) Unsatisfactory	6.9%
Annual Report (“Japan’s Government Procurement: Policy and Achievements”)	
a) Satisfied	13.8%
b) Generally satisfied	37.9%
c) Not satisfied	3.4%
d) No idea, because have never made use of these services	44.8%
Publicizing award list on website	
a) Satisfied	11.1%
b) Generally satisfied	55.6%
c) Not satisfied	7.4%
d) Did not know it’s on the website	25.9%
Securing competitiveness and transparency through the reduction of single tendering and selective tendering	
a) Well secured	35.7%
b) Secured, but not sufficiently	42.9%
c) Not secured	21.4%
Concerning the extension of the period of the receipt of tenders	
a) Benefited from the extension	56.0%
b) Did not receive any particular benefit from the extension	44.0%
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	85.7%
b) Needs to be reduced	10.7%
c) Needs to be raised	3.6%
The overall-greatest-value evaluation method	
a) The method has well promoted reasonable assessments	13.8%
b) The method has promoted reasonable assessments to a certain extent	65.5%
c) The method has not worked well	10.3%
d) No idea for the procurement in this method	10.3%
Complaint review system	
a) Considered using the system	12.5%
b) Never considered using the system	71.9%
c) Did not know the system	15.6%

Questionnaire Item	Ratio (%)
In telecommunication and medical technology fields	
Threshold for request for submission of materials and opinions (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	100.0%
b) Needs to be reduced	0.0%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology	
a) Reasonable	41.7%
b) Reasonable in many cases	58.3%
c) Not reasonable	0.0%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method (385,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	90.9%
b) Needs to be reduced	0.0%
c) Needs to be raised	9.1%
Fairness of technical specifications	
a) Specified in accordance with the measures	33.3%
b) Specified largely in accordance with the measures	58.3%
c) Specified not in accordance with the measures	8.3%
Miscellaneous	
Evaluation method in the Standard Guide to the Overall-greatest-value methodology in the field of computer products and services	
a) Reasonable	18.8%
b) Reasonable in many cases	75.0%
c) Not reasonable	6.3%
Threshold for overall-greatest value evaluation method in the field of computer products and services (800,000 SDR)	
a) Reasonable	81.3%
b) Needs to be reduced	18.8%
c) Needs to be raised	0.0%
Measures to revise the Government Procurement System concerning Information Systems and Basic Guidelines on Government Procurement Related to Information Systems	
a) Reasonable	27.8%
b) Reasonable in many cases	61.1%
c) Not reasonable	11.1%

* 29 respondents answered to the questionnaire.

* Due to rounding of figures, the totals do not add up to 100% in some cases.

* Suppliers that did not provide responses have been excluded.

* For responses in the “In telecommunication and medical technology fields” and “Miscellaneous” categories, the results are calculated by excluding the response “No idea for the procurement in these fields.”